

M I N U T E S
Sewer Authority Mid-Coastside
Meeting of February 14, 1979

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman, Mr. Leger.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS ROLL CALL:

PRESENT: Leger, Adreveno, Damer (Scott acted as alternate for first 10 minutes), Heaslet, Morrall

ALTERNATE: Bedesem for Shaw

ABSENT: None

STAFF PRESENT: Manager Mortensen, Attorney CopeLand

Others present included Kamil Azoury, Bob Eppler, and Dick Soennichsen (Engineering Consultants), Phil Karpain (HMB City Engineer), Melvin Mello (HMB City Council), Bob Scott (GSD Board Member), Judy McKenzie (GSD Rec. Secretary), Bob Rathborne (CCWD Board Chairman) and Larry Pollard (former member GSD).

MINUTES APPROVED: Adreveno moved, and it was seconded by Heaslet, that the Sewer Authority Mid-Coastside minutes of January 31, 1979 be approved as submitted. The affirmative vote was unanimous.

SELECTED ITEMS OF BUSINESS:

1. GSD Chairman (2/2/79) - Memo to GSD Re: District Capacity Needs
2. GSD Chairman (2/2/79) - ltr to SAM Mgr - Cost Sharing of SAM Project
3. GSD Chairman (2/2/79) - ltr to SWRCB on proposed modification of conditions and timetable
4. MAC (2/6/79) - Status of Wastewater Management Project
5. HMB (2/2/79) - Resolution No. 11-79 setting forth HMB position on SAM project, schedule, capacity and cost sharing
6. CCZCC (2/2/79) - Notice of Meeting and Agenda for 2/15/79 Public Hearing
7. CCZCC (2/7/79) - Staff report on SAM applications (3) for Phase 1 of project for public hearing of 2/15/79 at IDES Hall in HMB

The manager explained that he had placed only the above items on the agenda in order not to detract from the main order of business, which was the CCZCC public hearing of the next day. He noted he had brought copies of other material which otherwise would have been on the agenda, and if time permitted, it would be handed out for discussion and action.

Larry Pollard inquired if there was to be a public meeting of the GSD, as had been stated on a public notice for this time and place. McKenzie explained that the notice had been posted in the event GSD needed to take any action that evening. At this point, Damer arrived, and a GSD meeting was opened in conjunction with the SAM meeting, with Damer, Morrall and Scott present.

Chairman Leger reminded the Board of its past decision to adjourn at 10:30 p.m. The Manager reviewed his earlier statement for Damer and

noted his impression that the purpose of the meeting was to decide who would present what information at the hearing the following day.

Leger asked the attorney to report on his meeting with the Attorney General. Copeland stated that he, Mr. Nawi (A.G.'s office), and the HMB and GSD attorney's had met, and concerning the proposed modification of conditions and timetable, Nawi had stated this was up to the State. The other concern, that requirements to repay all grant funds might be unfair to HMB, was addressed, and Nawi had been willing to make changes to this section to assure fairness. He concluded by stating that the Attorney General appeared willing to work with SAM on the conditions, noting that the hearing on the injunction had been taken off the calendar until about April 1, when it was anticipated that SAM would have its CCZCC permit.

Damer took issue with a portion of HMB Resolution 11-79 concerning redesign for a larger plant and facilities, and other points concerning scheduling. He felt that the CCZCC permits could be accepted if granted, and noted the only change in plans already agreed to would be the phasing of the conveyance line. He suggested that the CCZCC be made aware of the possibilities of an amendment request at a later date for this. He reiterated his position that use of GSD to circumvent proper planning procedures should be brought to an end. At this point, his letter of 2/14/79, addressing the first concern above, was handed out.

Bedesem noted in response to a statement by Damer that the City Council had indeed directed the writing or and reviewed the City Res. No. 11-79. She also suggested that if the reason for this meeting was as the Manager had earlier suggested, this item, and all other agenda items except 6 and 7 should be set aside until those were finished.

In response to Chairman Leger, Damer stated his feeling that the statement in City Res. No. 11-79 he had questioned was incorrect and could have a negative impact on the CCZCC permit request. Eppler noted that, generally speaking, the facilities could handle more sewage than the design capacity would indicate. Azoury explained his concern with the LCP's by stating that if the ultimate capacity required for MSD does not exceed 5000,000 gpd, there is little likelihood that the MSD-GSD intertie forcemain would require redesign under any LCP findings, and that every system has a built in 10% design tolerance, and that the existing outfall as designed would handle maximum additional flow not to exceed 10% of the nominal design flow.

Adreveno stated the real issue in the HMB resolution was the "Resolved's," and in these the City was open to virtually anything as long as the project got moving quickly. He did not feel that it would harm the permit request. Damer asked if the outfall could handle 0.3-0.5 mgd increase from GSD, assuming MSD stayed at 0.5 mgd and HMB at 1.0 mgd, and Azoury replied it could with a maximum increase of 0.5 mgd only (10% of outfall design flow). Bedesem expressed reservations with committing for use the engineering safety factor of the facility.

Damer stated SAM should demonstrate to the CCZCC that it is ready to act on all three permits, but may have to come back for an amendment based on information from the LCP's. The Manager felt some comment should be addressed to the CCZCC staff report, which he thought was quite good. After much discussion of alternatives of who

should speak to various project aspects at the permit hearing, it was decided that Adreveno would speak briefly and reserve SAM's remaining time for rebuttals if necessary.

Heaslet suggested that another meeting be scheduled for discussion of the remaining agenda items, as well as those the Manager had not yet presented. After some discussion on cost sharing, Damer suggested that if it came up at the CCZCC hearing, SAM should state it was looking at alternatives, and a solution would be achieved in the near future.

In response to Damer, Azoury handed a letter concerning the cost of the Cost Effectiveness study to the Manager, who reviewed it for the Board. It was estimated the study would cost about \$20,000 and take not more than 4 weeks. The Manager suggested that Plan A be studied with the expectation that the separate plants would not be operated by SAM, but by the member agencies. Discussion of the best time for the Cost Effectiveness study followed.

ADJOURNMENT: Wednesday, February 21, 1979 was set as the date for the next meeting. Upon motion made and duly seconded, the February 14, 1979 meeting of the Sewer Authority Mid-Coastside was adjourned at 10:29 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert W. Terkelson
Administrative Ass't

APPROVED:



Nick Damer
Secretary